

REMARKS

Claims 1-24 remain in the application. Claims 4, 6, 13, 17, 19, and 23 have been amended. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 14-16, 18-22, and 24 have been allowed. Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing these claims. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the pending claims.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

The Office Action objected to claim 4 because of insufficient antecedent basis. Applicant has corrected this by amending claim 4.

The Office Action objected to claim 6 because of insufficient antecedent basis. Applicant has corrected this by amending claim 6.

The Office Action objected to claim 13 because of insufficient antecedent basis. Applicant has corrected this by amending claim 13.

The Office Action objected to claim 17 because of insufficient antecedent basis. Applicant has corrected this by amending claim 17.

The Office Action objected to claim 19 because of insufficient antecedent basis. Applicant has corrected this by amending claim 19.

The Office Action objected to claim 23 because of insufficient antecedent basis. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Claim 23 is dependent on claim 14 and claim 14 recites "creating a first object description for a first object, wherein the first object description

comprises values of variables belonging to the first object's class" therefore the element of "variables" in claim 23 has antecedent support in claim 14.

Applicant wishes to express gratitude to the Examiner for the allowed claims and respectfully requests allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Buchenhorner
Reg. No. 33,162

Date: March 8, 2007

Michael Buchenhorner, P.A.
8540 S.W. 83 Street
Miami, Florida 33143
(305) 273-8007 (voice)
(305) 595-9579 (fax)

TRANSMITTED BY E-FILING ON MARCH 8, 2007